African American Alliance of CDFI CEOs

NAVIGATING THE TERRAIN OF DIVERSITY, EQUITY, AND INCLUSION:

Challenges, Resistance, and Strategies in Educational and Corporate Settings

Nucdalie Cherident
Policy & Research Associate

Ryan Gremillion
SVP, Policy & Research

May 15, 2024

Abstract

Diversity Equity and Inclusion initiatives emerged in response to increasing awareness of systemic inequalities and underrepresentation across various social sectors. The movement traces its roots to the civil rights era, gaining momentum through affirmative action policies in the late 20th century. Initially, DEI efforts focused on addressing racial and gender disparities in employment and education, aiming to create more diverse and inclusive environments. Workplace DEI and affirmative action programs are guided by Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act and other federal and state employment anti-discrimination laws to prohibit discrimination against employees and job applicants based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, or age. Over time, DEI initiatives have transitioned from niche programs to mainstream corporate and institutional practices. This shift reflects a broader recognition of the business case for diversity and equity, with organizations acknowledging the benefits of diverse perspectives and inclusive cultures. However, the politicization of DEI has also become apparent, particularly in contemporary discourse where debates around diversity, equity, and inclusion intersect with broader sociopolitical issues. This politicization has led to polarization and differing interpretations of the goals and strategies of DEI initiatives.

This paper seeks to analyze the prevalent and politicized aspects of DEI across three key domains: higher education, the workplace, and the enduring effects on Black women and Black women in leadership. It delves into a brief historical background of DEI initiatives, exploring their roots and consequential impacts derived from those initiatives supported by statistical evidence. Furthermore, this paper offers actionable recommendations for implementation whilst navigating anti-DEI challenges from opponents weaponizing years of strides to minimize disparities faced by BIPOC individuals.

Introduction

DEI initiatives in both educational institutions and corporate environments have navigated a complex landscape shaped by historical legacies and contemporary legal shifts. In the realm of education, the journey towards equity has been fraught with challenges, from addressing postbellum educational disparities for African Americans to landmark judicial decisions like *Brown v. Board of Education* and the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the trajectory of educational equity has been tumultuous. Recent Supreme Court decisions, particularly *Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard*, have redefined affirmative action, necessitating a reevaluation of admissions policies and DEI initiatives in higher education. These changes have varied impacts across institution types, with public and private universities facing distinct challenges. Geographical location also plays a critical role, with different states adopting divergent approaches to DEI legislation, shaping the national discourse. The interplay between state-level actions and institutional strategies underscores the complexity of advancing DEI in education, highlighting the intricate interplay between legal frameworks and institutional practices.

Similarly, within corporate settings, diversity initiatives seek to cultivate inclusive cultures that value individuals from diverse backgrounds. However, despite these efforts, the corporate landscape remains largely dominated by White males who hold a majority of board seats and C-suite positions in Fortune 500 companies. Equity initiatives within DEI frameworks work to dismantle systemic barriers by conducting pay equity audits and implementing policies to mitigate unconscious bias. Inclusion efforts aim to foster environments where all employees feel a sense of belonging and safety through open dialogue and inclusive leadership training. Successful DEI programs often hinge on visible leadership commitment, demonstrated by companies like TD Bank and ADP, known for their comprehensive DEI initiatives.

Instances of opposition and dismantling of DEI programs underscore the complex dynamics at play in corporate environments, where legal, regulatory, and cultural factors intersect to shape organizational practices and policies. However, the threat to corporate DEI efforts is not new. In late 2020, under the Trump administration, an executive order was issued banning federal agencies, contractors, and grant recipients from conducting training that promotes "divisive concepts". Three years later, amidst one monumental Supreme Court decision to strike down affirmative action in higher education, led by conservative litigator Edward Blum, many companies, even beyond those directly impacted by the order, hastily canceled their diversity training initiatives. Some organizations went a step further by halting all training programs, but many organizations remained committed to advancing DEI efforts as integral to their long-term sustainability, competitive advantage, and ethical responsibilities (Fast Company, 2020). As studies have shown, organizations that listen to employees and act accordingly are 3.6x more likely to innovate effectively, 6.6x more likely to adapt well to change, 8.4x inspire a sense of belonging, 8.5x satisfy and retain customers and 12x engage and retain employees (Josh Bersin, 2021).

The erasure of DEI initiatives on Black women in leadership has profound implications due to the intersectionality of race and gender in professional settings. Despite efforts to address wage disparities and underrepresentation in leadership roles, Black women continue to face systemic biases and discriminatory practices, which will be exacerbated in the absence of DEI initiatives. Organizations such as Salesforce, McDonald's, General Motors, IBM, and The Executive Leadership Council have taken proactive steps to support the advancement of Black women through mentorship programs, leadership development initiatives, and networking opportunities. However, the erasure of DEI efforts targeted at Black women in leadership poses significant challenges, leaving them vulnerable to hostile work environments and limited avenues for professional growth. Thus, the importance of tailored DEI programs that recognize and address the intersectional experiences of marginalized groups cannot be overstated in fostering equitable and inclusive environments.

DEI Under Siege: Educational Fronts
A. Historical and Recent Challenges in Education
1. From Reconstruction to Contemporary Judicial Decisions

In the aftermath of the Civil War, the United States entered the Reconstruction era, a period marked by significant yet turbulent social reforms, particularly in the realm of educational equity. The creation of the Freedmen's Bureau in 1865 exemplifies an early federal initiative aimed at reducing educational disparities among newly emancipated African Americans, thereby establishing a foundational link between education policy and civil rights. However, subsequent developments, including the enactment of Jim Crow laws and the Supreme Court's affirmation of the "separate but equal" doctrine in *Plessy v. Ferguson* (1896), entrenched systemic segregation and perpetuated educational inequity. These events underscore the intricate interplay among legislative actions, judicial decisions, and educational practices.

The arc of educational DEI initiatives experienced a pivotal shift with the *Brown v. Board of Education* decision in 1954. The Supreme Court's unanimous ruling not only dismantled the precedent set by *Plessy* but also declared the segregation of public schools on racial grounds as inherently unequal, highlighting the judiciary's critical role in challenging institutional barriers to educational equity.

Further solidifying this trajectory, the Civil Rights Act of 1964 expanded the legal framework supporting DEI initiatives by outlawing discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin in public schools and other entities. The subsequent integration of Title IX in the Education Amendments of 1972, which prohibits sex-based discrimination in federally funded educational programs, marked a significant milestone in broadening the scope of DEI efforts to include not only racial but also gender equity.

Despite these legislative and judicial progresses, the pursuit of educational equity has faced continuous obstacles and resistance. The late 20th and early 21st centuries have seen significant legal and societal pushback against affirmative action and other proactive DEI measures. Notably, the Supreme Court's 2023 decision to end affirmative action in college admissions emerged as a contemporary focal point in the debate over the influence of race and ethnicity in educational policy and practice. This decision compels educational institutions to explore alternative strategies to cultivate diverse and inclusive learning environments, demonstrating the ongoing complexity of achieving educational equity in the United States.

2. The Landmark Decision: "Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard, 600 U.S. 181 (2023)

In a pivotal development that has significantly impacted higher education, the Supreme Court's decision in *Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard*, 600 U.S. 181 (2023), alongside a related case concerning the University of North Carolina, has fundamentally redefined the application of affirmative action in college admissions. This ruling, which overturns the long-standing precedents established by *Grutter v. Bollinger* (2003) and *Regents of the University of California v. Bakke* (1978), holds that race-based affirmative action programs are incompatible with the

4

Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. Chief Justice John Roberts, in delivering the majority opinion, steered the Court towards a colorblind interpretation of the Constitution, casting substantial doubt on the foundational principles of DEI initiatives within academia.

The ramifications of this judgment compel higher education institutions to reevaluate their admissions strategies. The consideration of race as a factor in admissions processes is now rendered untenable, prompting the necessity to develop alternative, race-neutral methodologies to preserve campus diversity. Potential strategies may include an increased emphasis on socioeconomic factors, diverse life experiences, and other non-racial characteristics. Furthermore, this decision necessitates a broader reassessment of DEI efforts, urging institutions to explore new ways to cultivate inclusive environments that leverage diversity. This could involve a systemic overhaul of practices such as targeted outreach, adjustments in financial aid policies, and admissions protocols that emphasize individual contributions and experiences without direct reference to race.

3. Divergent Impacts on Campus Diversity and Inclusion

The efficacy and approaches of DEI initiatives in higher education are markedly influenced by a range of factors including institutional characteristics, geographic location, and the demographic composition of the student body. This narrative ventures into a nuanced exploration, grounded in empirical evidence, theoretical discourse, and the lived experiences of the academic community, to shed light on how DEI efforts unfold across various educational landscapes, and the implications thereof. The objective is to illuminate the diverse ways in which DEI efforts are implemented across different educational settings and to understand the subsequent implications.

<u>The Dichotomy of Public versus Private Institutions</u>

Public and private higher education institutions engage with DEI initiatives under different sets of freedoms and constraints. Specifically, public universities operate within the legislative frameworks mandated by their states, which can restrict their operational latitude, particularly in jurisdictions where affirmative action is limited by law. The response of California's public universities to Proposition 209 exemplifies such a scenario. Following the prohibition of race as a criterion in admissions, these institutions strategically pivoted to consider socioeconomic factors and enhance outreach programs as alternative means to sustain diversity within their student populations. This shift demonstrates a form of strategic navigation within existing legal boundaries aimed at preserving the core values of diversity.

Conversely, private institutions, while generally possessing greater autonomy, also face significant legal pressures that can impact their DEI strategies. The Supreme Court's decision against Harvard is a case in point, illustrating the legal vulnerabilities even autonomous institutions face regarding their diversity policies. As a result, these institutions are compelled to devise innovative strategies that comply with legal standards while still fostering the educational benefits of a diverse student body. This situation necessitates a creative reevaluation of how to effectively cultivate an inclusive educational environment without relying on traditional affirmative action mechanisms.

<u>Community Colleges versus Universities: A Study in Contrast</u>

Community colleges and research-intensive universities present distinct landscapes in their approach to DEI. Community colleges are recognized for their inclusivity, attracting a diverse cohort of students, including those who are nontraditional, part-time, or focused on vocational training. These institutions play a crucial role in broadening access to higher education. However, they frequently encounter challenges such as limited funding and resource constraints, which can impede the advancement and effectiveness of their DEI initiatives.

In contrast, research-intensive universities navigate the complexities of creating inclusive environments within the highly competitive realms of academia. These universities are tasked with diversifying their faculty, integrating DEI principles into their curricula, and supporting students from underrepresented groups. This endeavor involves aligning DEI efforts with the institutions' broader strategic objectives, a task made increasingly complex by changing legal standards and societal expectations. This comparative study highlights the unique challenges and strategies employed by different types of higher education institutions in advancing DEI objectives within their respective contexts.

<u>The Influence of Geography on DEI Efforts</u>

The impact of geographical location on DEI efforts in higher education is significant, with institutions situated in urban or coastal regions often benefiting from a naturally diverse applicant pool and access to extensive community resources that facilitate the implementation of DEI initiatives. These advantages contribute to a more robust framework for cultivating inclusivity and diversity within the campus environment.

Conversely, institutions located in rural or traditionally conservative regions face distinct challenges. These include difficulties in attracting diverse faculty members and the complexities involved in creating an inclusive campus culture. Such geographic and socio-political contexts necessitate the development of DEI strategies that are tailored to address the specific barriers and opportunities present in these environments. This distinction underscores the necessity for adaptive and context-aware approaches to DEI, highlighting the need for strategies that recognize and effectively address the unique circumstances of each institutional setting.

4. An Academic Examination of the Divergence Between Admissions Policies and Comprehensive DEI Initiatives in Higher Education Institutions

The discourse on DEI within higher education encompasses a wide range of initiatives from admissions policies designed to cultivate a diverse student body to more expansive efforts that include faculty recruitment, curriculum development, campus culture, and student support services. This discussion aims to explore the interactions between these areas, emphasizing the differences and synergies between the approaches used in admissions and those that permeate the broader institutional environment with a commitment to inclusivity and equity. This examination highlights how comprehensive DEI strategies have fundamentally reshaped educational settings.

Central to this conversation are the admissions policies that traditionally dictate the composition of the student body by evaluating academic credentials and personal experiences. With the evolving legal context surrounding affirmative action, universities are at a critical juncture, tasked with devising creative methods to maintain diversity without solely depending on conventional approaches. While admissions processes typically concentrate on student selection, extensive DEI initiatives within the campus aim to enhance faculty diversity, incorporate diverse perspectives into the curriculum, create an inclusive campus culture, and provide specific support services for underrepresented groups to support their full integration both academically and socially.

Illustrative of effective DEI practices, the University of California has implemented targeted recruitment and retention strategies to increase faculty diversity through innovative hiring practices and robust mentorship programs for underrepresented faculty; Georgetown University has undertaken a thorough review of its curriculum to integrate inclusive pedagogical approaches across all disciplines, establishing itself as a model for institutions striving for global awareness and social justice; Michigan State University has developed specialized programs for first-generation and socioeconomically disadvantaged students, emphasizing the critical role of focused academic and financial support in boosting student success and retention.

This comprehensive analysis of how admissions policies and broad DEI initiatives interact within higher education highlights the necessity of a holistic approach to advance DEI. By integrating DEI principles across all operational facets, educational institutions are better equipped to foster academic environments that not only reflect societal diversity but also actively advance equity and inclusivity.

5. State-Level Actions and National Trends: A Comparative Review

Within the framework of American education, DEI initiatives are at a critical crossroads, shaped by a spectrum of state-level policies. These policies either support the advancement of DEI in educational contexts or pose challenges to its implementation. This study rigorously explores the influence of state-level legislation on addressing systemic inequities within education. Utilizing a qualitative research methodology, this analysis reviews an extensive collection of state actions over the past five years, categorizing them into those that either foster or hinder DEI efforts. This methodological approach enables a nuanced comparative analysis, facilitating the identification of regional trends and significant outliers.

The research findings highlight states such as California and New York as frontrunners in enacting progressive legislation to strengthen DEI in education, as seen with initiatives like California's Ethnic Studies Requirement. Conversely, states like Idaho and Texas have enacted laws that constrain discussions on DEI, reflecting a broader national movement towards regulating these activities in educational settings. Additionally, the analysis reveals a correlation between a state's political orientation and its legislative actions concerning DEI, with Democratic-leaning states typically endorsing DEI enhancements, while Republican-leaning states are more inclined to implement restrictions.

Florida, under the leadership of Governor Ron DeSantis, presents a unique case in the landscape of DEI legislation in the United States, adopting an approach that significantly influences the national discourse on DEI within educational settings. Governor DeSantis has enacted policies that notably restrict discussions on race and other DEI topics in both educational and corporate environments. For instance, his administration's decision to reject the Advanced Placement (AP) African American Studies course from being taught in Florida high schools has sparked considerable controversy and debate. This decision was justified on the grounds that the course content was purportedly in conflict with Florida's educational goals and standards, particularly emphasizing what the administration described as historically inaccurate or ideologically driven components.

Moreover, Governor DeSantis has expanded these educational policies to the area of higher education, where he has been vocal about eliminating what he terms "ideological conformity" within Florida's public universities. His administration has pushed for legislation that would remove DEI programs and related activities from state universities, arguing that such programs promote division rather than unity. This stance is part of a broader agenda to reshape the educational system to focus more on what is deemed as historically factual and economically practical, minimizing the focus on racial disparities and historical injustices that are central to many DEI initiatives.

These moves by Governor DeSantis underscore a significant shift in how state-level decisions can shape the broader national conversation about the role of race, equity, and history in educational contexts. They reflect a broader trend among several states that seek to regulate and, in some cases, roll back the progress of DEI efforts in the name of educational reform or ideological realignment. This approach not only affects the local educational frameworks within Florida but also has ripple effects across the country, as other states observe and, in some instances, mimic these legislative efforts, thereby influencing the trajectory of national DEI policies and practices.

These diverse state-level approaches to DEI have deep implications for national educational policies and the strategic planning of educational institutions. Supportive legislative measures often lead to broader shifts towards more inclusive national policy frameworks, whereas restrictive measures may obstruct the execution of effective DEI initiatives, resulting in a disjointed national approach to DEI. The variability in legislation underscores the need for educational institutions to develop flexible and proactive strategies to adeptly manage this complex regulatory landscape.

This analysis illustrates the profound influence of state-level legislative and executive actions on the DEI terrain in U.S. education, highlighting the stark legislative divide on DEI. This division has substantial ramifications for the educational sector, underscoring the need for ongoing engagement, research, and policy analysis among educational stakeholders. As legislative approaches continue to diverge, the overall effect of these policies will significantly determine the direction of DEI initiatives within the educational sector. This situation requires the

development of adaptable and informed strategies to effectively navigate and impact the changing DEI environment, amidst shifting legislative and societal trends.

Challenges to DEI Initiatives in the Workplace
A. Overview of DEI initiatives in corporate settings

In contemporary corporate environments, DEI initiatives encompass a variety of strategies and programs aimed at fostering a workplace culture that honors and respects individuals from diverse backgrounds and identities. These initiatives strive to ensure equity in opportunities and treatment while promoting inclusivity to create an environment where all employees feel welcomed and empowered. It is widely recognized that the corporate sector is predominantly composed of White individuals, particularly White males. This demographic holds approximately 55.3 percent of board seats in over half of Fortune 500 companies and occupies 57 percent of C-suite positions. In many instances, White individuals also dominate senior- and mid-level leadership roles (Deloitte, 2023; McKenzie, 2023).

Diversity initiatives primarily focus on increasing the representation of individuals from underrepresented groups within the workforce. This can involve targeted recruitment efforts to diversify their talent pipeline, partnerships with diverse professional organizations either led by Women, BIPOC or LGBTQIA+ persons and implementing practices that tackle bias in hiring and promotion processes. The goal of diversity efforts is often to reflect the diversity of the broader society by minimizing the occurrence or the perpetuation of broader societal issues in the workplace. Equity initiatives are geared towards ensuring fairness and impartiality in the treatment of employees across all demographic groups. This involves addressing systemic barriers that may impede the advancement or success of certain groups within the organization. Equity initiatives may include pay equity audits, policies to mitigate unconscious bias in performance evaluations, and providing resources and support for employees from marginalized communities. Inclusion initiatives are focused on creating a sense of belonging and psychological safety for all employees. Inclusive workplaces encourage open dialogue, value diverse perspectives, and actively work to dismantle discrimination and exclusionary behaviors. Inclusion efforts often involve training programs to raise awareness and educate on unconscious bias, inclusive leadership, and cultural competency. These efforts also involve fostering mentorship and allyship programs and creating affinity groups (AGs) or employee resource groups (ERGs) where individuals from similar backgrounds get to create a dedicated space to connect, support and uplift each other.

Effective implementation of DEI initiatives requires visible commitment and support from leadership. Executive leaders play a critical role in shaping organizational culture and emphasizing DEI as a strategic priority. Persistent advocacy from leadership sends a compelling message to both employees and the broader corporate community, underscoring that these values are central to the organization's mission and success. This commitment is notably evident in companies such as TD Bank and ADP, recognized for their exemplary DEI programs (Fair360). In 2022, TD Bank engaged thousands of employees in diversity and inclusion activities,

including educational events and celebrations. They also established a $100 million equity fund to support minority-owned small businesses and allocated $5.8 million to non-profit organizations focused on affordable housing (TD Stories, 2023). ADP, as part of the OneTen coalition, aims to enhance the skills and career opportunities of Black professionals. Additionally, ADP supports multiple employee-led business resource groups, provides unconscious bias training, and promotes hiring from underrepresented groups through their Diversity & Inclusion Talent Task Force.

In order to gauge the effectiveness of DEI initiatives, organizations regularly monitor progress through their established metrics toward DEI goals by tracking demographic data, conducting employee surveys to assess perceptions of inclusion and belonging, and most importantly, holding leaders accountable for advancing DEI objectives.

B. Instances of opposition and dismantling of DEI programs
1. Legal challenges and regulatory changes
2. Cultural resistance and backlash

Instances of opposition and dismantling of DEI programs have surfaced in various contexts, presenting challenges to the advancement of inclusive practices within organizations. These instances often manifest through legal challenges and regulatory changes, as well as cultural resistance and backlash. The implications of these efforts are multifaceted. They signify an increasing skepticism among certain stakeholders regarding the effectiveness and potential repercussions of DEI initiatives in corporate environments. Simultaneously, they raise questions into the motivations underpinning these challenges, with critics frequently highlighting concerns related to social progress and equality.

Legal challenges and regulatory changes presented significant hurdles for some DEI initiatives within corporations. Last year alone, corporations have quietly shrunken their investment in the very initiatives they publicly claimed to adhere to in 2020 at the height of civil unrest over the George Floyd and Breyana Taylor murder. For instance, Zoom, Google, X (formally Twitter) and Meta have either completely dismantled their DEI programs or significantly scaled back their DEI team to no more than two employees in fear of uncertainty to avoid legal risks or penalties (Fast Company, 2023). Regulatory changes at the federal or state level have also impacted the scope and implementation of DEI programs, as seen particularly in states like Florida and Texas where such practices are deemed discriminatory or unconstitutional (Axios, 2023).

Cultural resistance and backlash further opposed DEI programs within corporate settings. In some cases, employees or stakeholders perceived DEI initiatives as preferential treatment or "reverse discrimination", fueling resistance and pushback against diversity and inclusion efforts (mvalaw, 2023). These sentiments are echoed by findings from Gartner, indicating that as companies expand their DEI efforts, a considerable portion of employees share concerns about their impact. Specifically, 44 percent of employees agreed that a growing number of their colleagues feel alienated by their organization's DEI efforts. Additionally, 42 percent of

10

employees reported that their peers view their organizations' DEI efforts as divisive, while another 42 percent indicate that their peers resent DEI initiatives (Gartner, 2022). Cultural resistance may stem from entrenched biases, fear of change, or ideological opposition to social justice initiatives. In 2022, a notable trend emerged within shareholder activism, marked by a heightened focus on challenging DEI initiatives within corporations. This wave of shareholder demands has aimed to scrutinize and potentially retract DEI policies through impact audits and other advocacy tactics. The National Center for Public Policy Research (NCPPR), a foundation aligned with the conservative movement, has been particularly active in this arena. Throughout the year, NCPPR submitted proposals to numerous companies, including retail giants like Walmart and Lowe's, tech companies such as Meta (formerly Facebook), social media platform X (formerly Twitter), telecommunications giant AT&T, healthcare leader Johnson & Johnson, and financial institutions like Bank of America. Even Levi Strauss & Co., known for its progressive stances, faced similar pressure (Delikat, et al., 2022). These proposals typically called for the companies' boards to commission audits analyzing the effects of their DEI policies on civil rights, non-discrimination, and their overall business operations. The NCPPR's initiatives also extended beyond shareholder proposals. For instance, the "Stop Bank of America's Divisive 'Woke at Work' Agenda" campaign sought to rally individuals against what it viewed as Bank of America's divisive "racial justice" initiatives (NCPPR, n.d.).

Moreover, controversies surrounding DEI programs, such as accusations of tokenism or concerns about lowering standards in the name of diversity, unintentionally fuel a broader cultural backlash and undermine support for DEI initiatives. Lowering standards in the name of diversity may initially seem like a step towards inclusivity, but in the long term, it fails to address the root causes of inequality and perpetuates social and economic implications in broader society. By lowering standards, organizations risk undermining the meritocratic principles that should guide fair hiring and promotion practices. This can create a perception that minorities are being favored or given preferential treatment, which in turn can make them a target where their competency and qualifications are questioned. Rather than addressing systemic issues and providing genuine opportunities for underrepresented groups to excel, lowering standards may exacerbate feelings of inadequacy and resentment, further entrenching divisions within society. True progress towards diversity and inclusion requires addressing structural barriers, providing equitable opportunities, and fostering environments where all individuals can thrive based on their abilities and qualifications, not arbitrary adjustments to standards.

C. Impact on organizational culture and employee morale

DEI initiatives are pivotal in shaping organizational culture, and fostering an environment characterized by respect, openness, and collaboration. Studies have consistently shown that when employees perceive their organization's commitment to diversity and inclusion, they are significantly more likely to engage in their work, contributing to a positive culture and higher retention rates. For instance, research indicates that companies supporting D&I initiatives experience a 2.6 times higher engagement rate among employees (Business Leader, 2023). Other findings from Deloitte (2018) and the Boston Consulting Group (2018) underscore the business

case for diversity and inclusion, revealing that diverse teams outperform non-diverse counterparts by 80 percent, and inclusive companies achieve 19 percent higher revenue. Additionally, organizations in the top quartile for racial and ethnic diversity are 36 percent more likely to have financial returns above national industry medians, while those in the top quartile for gender diversity are 25 percent more likely to exceed industry medians in financial returns (McKensie, 2020).

In addition to shaping organizational culture, DEI initiatives have the potential to enhance employee morale by demonstrating a commitment to fairness, equity, and social responsibility. Employees who witness their organization's dedication to creating opportunities for individuals from diverse backgrounds and ensuring equitable treatment are more likely to experience boosted morale and a heightened sense of pride in belonging to the organization. However, the absence or inadequacy of DEI initiatives can have detrimental effects. In environments where diversity is undervalued or exclusionary practices persist, employees from underrepresented groups feel isolated, alienated, and disengaged. Consequently, this can lead to decreased morale, increased turnover rates, and diminished productivity, perpetuating a cycle of disengagement and dissatisfaction among the workforces.

Furthermore, it is crucial to acknowledge the significant costs associated with unconscious bias in the workplace, which amount to a staggering $550 billion annually for US businesses alone (Forbes, 2023). This figure emphasizes the urgency of addressing bias and discrimination within organizational contexts and highlights the importance of ongoing efforts to make workplaces more sustainable, resilient, adaptable, and ultimately more profitable. As organizations navigate the complexities of today's diverse workforce and global marketplace, prioritizing DEI initiatives becomes not only a moral imperative but also a strategic imperative for long-term success and competitiveness.

Impact of Erasing DEI Initiatives on Black Women in Leadership
A. Importance of diversity in leadership roles

The significance of diversity in leadership roles cannot be overstated, particularly concerning the representation and inclusion of Black women. Diverse leadership teams offer a broader range of perspectives, experiences, and ideas, and as previously mentioned in this paper, research consistently demonstrated that diverse teams outperform homogeneous ones across various metrics. Representation of women of color falls relative to White women and men of the same race and ethnicity at every step of the pipeline (McKinsey, 2023). So naturally, when individuals see leaders who look like them or share similar backgrounds, they are more likely to feel a sense of safety, belonging and motivation to excel. This is particularly vital for Black women, who often face intersectional challenges related to race and gender in professional settings.

B. Intersectionality of race and gender in professional settings

The intersectionality of race and gender profoundly influences the experiences and opportunities of Black women in professional settings. Black women encounter compounded challenges and systemic barriers stemming from both racial and gender biases. Despite their qualifications and capabilities, they frequently face obstacles in career advancement, wage parity, and access to leadership positions (Jean-Marie, 2009). For instance, statistics from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics in 2023 reveal disparities in earnings among different racial and gender groups. While Asian ($1,631) and White women ($1,284) in management and professional occupations earn higher median usual weekly earnings, Black ($1,127) and Hispanic women ($1,142) earn significantly less in the same occupational group (U.S. Bureau Labor Statistics, 2023). In fact, for every dollar earned by White non-Hispanic men, Black women are paid only 67 cents, highlighting the stark wage disparities faced (Womens Bureau U.S. Department of Labor, 2023). Despite a record-high share of Fortune 500 women CEOs in 2023, comprising 10.6 percent of the total, only 3.77 percent are Black women, underscoring the persistent underrepresentation and challenges faced by Black women in attaining leadership roles (Pew Research Center, 2023).

DEI initiatives serve as critical mechanisms for addressing deeply ingrained disparities rooted in longstanding racial and gender biases present in the fabric of this nation. Historically, Black women's roles were tied to their less privileged status compared to white women, who were elevated within societal hierarchies and confined to paternalism ideals centered on domesticity (CAP, 2016). Throughout the centuries, Black women worked in low-paying jobs, excluded by legal restrictions from higher-paying roles typically reserved for men. Though societal attitudes have evolved, remnants of these historical implications remain drenched in devaluing Black women's status both as women and as workers. Consequently, despite longer labor force participation, Black women continue to face unjust burdens while also contending with negative stereotypes regarding their work ethic and tropes. Such attitudes perpetuate systemic inequalities in employment and compensation.

Such attitudes are very prevalent in the recent ousting of Harvard President Claudine Gay, the first Black woman to assume that role. As outlined in the City Journal article, during her tenure as the Dean of Harvard's Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Gay's strategic leadership included the implementation of programs designed to enhance diversity among faculty and students and to address systemic inequalities within academia (City Journal, 2023). Gay's commitment to DEI was both ambitious and polarizing, drawing praise from supporters who applauded her efforts to create a more equitable academic community, while also facing criticism from skeptics who questioned the effectiveness and motives behind such initiatives. This controversy, particularly following her congressional testimony, has led many to speculate that the intense criticism she faced from conservative circles was closely linked to her extensive DEI efforts.

C. Analysis of potential barriers and challenges faced by Black women in the absence of DEI initiatives

In the absence of DEI initiatives, Black women often encounter pervasive biases and discriminatory practices within professional settings. These biases manifest in various forms, including hiring and promotion decisions, access to opportunities for career development, and unequal treatment in the workplace. Black women then find themselves overlooked for leadership roles, sidelined in decision-making processes, and excluded from networks crucial for career progression like mentorships and sponsorships. This lack of representation in leadership positions deprives Black women of role models and advocates who can champion their interests and advocate for their advancement within an organization. Furthermore, they often encounter hostile work environments where their contributions are undervalued, and their voices marginalized. The lack of diversity and inclusion perpetuates cultures that prioritize homogeneity and fail to recognize the unique perspectives and multifaceted experiences of Black women. Consequently, they often feel isolated, disempowered, and disengaged within their professional environments, leading to decreased job satisfaction and diminished productivity. Moreover, this leaves Black women unprotected against instances of discrimination and microaggressions, exacerbating feelings of alienation and distrust towards organizational leadership.

Without proactive measures to address systemic inequalities, Black women face formidable challenges and barriers in accessing resources and support necessary for their professional growth and development. This reality is exemplified by the President of the African American Alliance of CDFI CEOs (the Alliance), Amber Bond, the first Black woman to lead the organization. Reflecting on her journey, she recalls the hurdles encountered as a struggling single mother in her early twenties, grappling with limited access to capital and financial opportunities common among minority entrepreneurs. As she aptly puts it, "All of these factors locked me out of the traditional financial system and created hurdles to establishing the 'side hustle' small business that I knew could make a huge difference in my household income…". This sentiment echoes the broader experiences of Black women across the country, she continues "Black women in this country already face a financial double disadvantage; it is no surprise that many of us would choose to forge a path for ourselves with entrepreneurship—yet even that comes with its barriers. By undermining DEI programs for Black women, opponents of financial equity hinder our progress to close the racial wealth gap, achieve economic stability, and build wealth for our families and communities...".

An illustrative case of these challenges is the experience of Arian Simone, founder of the Fearless Fund, as discussed in INC (2023). In August 2023, the Fearless Fund was sued by the American Alliance for Equal Rights (AAER), an organization led by Edward Blum, who has a history of challenging race-based policies. The Fearless Fund, established in 2019, was created in response to the fact that Black and Latino women founders receive only 0.1 percent of venture capital (VC) funding for their startups (McKenzie, 2023). The AAER's lawsuit alleged that the Fearless Fund violated the Civil Rights Act of 1866. This situation raises questions about the heightened scrutiny placed on initiatives supporting Black and Brown individuals in seeking alternative funding sources, which are often pursued due to historical exclusion from traditional venture capital firms. It prompts a broader reflection on the disparities within venture capital firms themselves, particularly in comparison to firms that predominantly fund White recipients.

D. Case studies and examples of successful DEI programs benefiting Black women in leadership

Several organizations have implemented successful DEI programs that specifically benefit Black women in leadership roles. One such example is Salesforce's Racial Equality and Justice Task Force, which aims to address systemic racism and promote diversity within the company. The initiative includes commitments to increase representation of Black employees, invest in education and career development programs, and advocate for racial justice externally. Through these efforts, Salesforce aims to create more opportunities for Black women to advance into leadership positions. As of February 2022, Black representation has increased to 4.8 percent from 3.6 percent in February 2021 (Salesforce, 2022). The recruitment of Black women has more than doubled, from 1.8 percent in July 2020 to 4 percent in July 2021 (Salesforce, 2021). Salesforce has implemented a company-wide strategy focused on enhancing the experiences of Black women, aiming to accelerate representation, improve access to opportunities, address microaggressions, and foster the development of Black leaders.

McDonald's has made significant strides in supporting Black women through its Black & Positively Golden Scholarship Program, providing financial support and mentorship opportunities to Black students pursuing higher education. By investing in educational advancement, McDonald's aims to cultivate a pipeline of diverse talent and empower Black women to excel in leadership roles both within the company and in their communities. In 2021, McDonald's collaborated with TMCF to launch the Black & Positively Golden Scholarship Program for students at Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs). By 2024, the program plans to award over $1 million in HBCU scholarships to more than 60 scholars (McDonald's, n.d.). Additionally, thirty-four exceptional HBCU students received $15,000 in scholarships through this initiative, further contributing to academic empowerment and excellence within the HBCU community (US Black Engineer, 2020).

General Motors (GM) established the Empower Employee Resource Group (ERG) which reflects their commitment to supporting the advancement and development of its Black workforce. Through the ERG, GM offers networking opportunities, career development resources, and advocacy initiatives aimed at addressing systemic barriers and promoting equity and inclusion. This support system provided by the Empower ERG equips Black women with the necessary tools and resources to thrive in leadership positions across the organization. Moreover, GM recognizes the importance of nurturing diverse talent from a young age by investing in programs aimed at advancing learning and technical skills, as well as nurturing future female leaders, through partnerships with organizations such as Black Girls Code, Girls Who Code, and Girl Scouts of the USA (GM, n.d.).

IBM offers a Diversity in Leadership Development Program designed to identify, develop, and promote diverse talent, including Black women, into leadership roles. The program encompasses targeted leadership development initiatives, mentorship opportunities, and executive sponsorship to support the career advancement of Black women. By investing in the professional growth and development of Black women, IBM aims to cultivate a more inclusive leadership pipeline and

drive business innovation and success. Under the Be Equal initiative, IBM's Black Community collaborates to inspire, advocate for, and empower present and future generations of Black leaders within IBM and across the wider community (IBM, n.d.).

Lastly, The Executive Leadership Council (ELC) is an organization dedicated to increasing the number of Black executives in corporate America. Through mentorship programs, leadership development initiatives, and networking opportunities, the ELC supports Black women in navigating the challenges of corporate leadership and advancing into C-suite positions. These programs are tactical to a significant disparity observed in 2023. While men and women request promotions at similar rates, only 54 Black women are promoted to manager positions for every 100 male counterparts promoted (Mckinsey, 2023). A shocking fall from 2020, as it was 94 Black women for every 100. Similarly, the representation gap persists as only 64 Black women are hired into manager roles for every 100 men (LeanIn, 2020).

Conclusion

In summary, the interplay between educational and corporate spheres highlights the unique challenges and prospects associated with advancing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) initiatives. Historical influences on educational equity and ongoing legal and cultural challenges within corporate environments illustrate the complexity and importance of DEI efforts. The progression of DEI initiatives, tracing from Reconstruction-era policies to modern legal developments such as the affirmative action case at Harvard, demonstrates a continuous effort to address systemic inequities and societal opposition.

Moreover, the erosion of DEI programs poses significant risks to organizational culture and employee morale, particularly for marginalized groups such as Black women. Legal challenges, regulatory changes, and cultural backlash threaten to undermine the progress achieved through DEI initiatives and hinder opportunities for underrepresented individuals. The absence of proactive measures to address structural inequalities not only exacerbates disparities in leadership representation but also fosters hostile work environments. As organizations consider the implications of erasing DEI initiatives, it is important to recognize the inherent link between diversity, organizational culture, and long-term success.

Despite the challenges, there are significant opportunities for meaningful progress in advancing Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI). Exemplary DEI programs, as seen in leading corporations such as Salesforce, McDonald's, General Motors, IBM, and organizations like The Executive Leadership Council, highlight the transformative impact of inclusive leadership. These entities invest in diverse talent pipelines, mentorship initiatives, and advocacy efforts, thereby enabling Black women and other underrepresented groups to excel in leadership roles. This fosters a culture of equity, innovation, and social responsibility. As DEI initiatives continue to evolve, it is crucial for educational institutions, corporations, and policymakers to prioritize inclusive practices, dismantle systemic barriers, and create environments where individuals can succeed based on merit and potential. Such efforts will advance equity and contribute to a more just and prosperous society.

B. Recommendations for policymakers, institutions, and organizations to support and strengthen DEI initiatives

To effectively support and strengthen DEI initiatives, policymakers can implement a comprehensive roadmap encompassing several key steps: Prioritize education and awareness programs to foster understanding and empathy regarding issues of diversity and inclusion. Enact and enforce anti-discrimination laws and regulations to ensure equitable treatment in all spheres of society. Invest in diverse representation within decision-making bodies and leadership positions to promote inclusivity and provide role models for underrepresented groups. Allocate resources for targeted programs addressing systemic barriers faced by marginalized communities, such as access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities. Foster collaboration between government, businesses, nonprofits, and community organizations to create holistic approaches for advancing DEI goals and achieving sustainable change.

Recommendations for Policymakers

Action Step	Description
1. Prioritize education and awareness programs.	→ Foster understanding and empathy regarding issues of diversity and inclusion through educational initiatives.
2. Enact and enforce anti-discrimination laws and regulations.	→ Ensure equitable treatment in all spheres of society by implementing and enforcing laws and regulations against discrimination.
3. Invest in diverse representation within decision-making bodies and leadership positions.	→ Promote inclusivity and provide role models for underrepresented groups by investing in diverse representation at all levels of governance and leadership.
4. Allocate resources for targeted programs addressing systemic barriers faced by marginalized communities.	→ Address systemic barriers faced by marginalized communities, such as access to education, healthcare, and employment opportunities, by allocating resources for targeted programs.
5. Foster collaboration between government, businesses, nonprofits, and community organizations.	→ Create holistic approaches for advancing DEI goals and achieving sustainable change by fostering collaboration between different sectors of society.

Through a concerted and multifaceted approach, institutions like colleges can serve as catalysts for meaningful social change and cultivate environments where all individuals can thrive and succeed. A comprehensive roadmap for supporting and strengthening DEI efforts includes: Establish clear and measurable goals for diversity, equity, and inclusion, incorporating them into the institution's mission, vision, and strategic plans. This involves conducting regular assessments of current diversity metrics and identifying areas for improvement. Implement robust recruitment and retention strategies prioritizing diversity in faculty, staff, and student populations, which can involve targeted outreach efforts, scholarship programs, and mentorship opportunities. Create inclusive learning environments through curriculum development, training programs, and cultural competency initiatives, ensuring that all students feel valued and supported. Foster a culture of dialogue and collaboration through DEI-focused events, workshops, and discussion forums to encourage ongoing engagement and awareness. Allocate adequate resources and support structures to sustain DEI efforts long-term, including dedicated staff positions, funding for research and programming, and partnerships with external organizations committed to advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Recommendations for Institutions

Action Step	Description
1. Establish clear and measurable goals for diversity, equity, and inclusion.	→ Incorporate DEI goals into the institution's mission, vision, and strategic plans. Conduct regular assessments of current diversity metrics and identify areas for improvement.
2. Implement robust recruitment and retention strategies prioritizing diversity.	→ Targeted outreach efforts, scholarship programs, and mentorship opportunities for underrepresented groups.
3. Create inclusive learning environments through curriculum development, training programs, and cultural competency initiatives.	→ Develop curricula that reflect diverse perspectives and experiences. Provide training programs to promote understanding and empathy regarding diversity and inclusion.
4. Foster a culture of dialogue and collaboration through DEI-focused events, workshops, and discussion forums.	→ Organize events, workshops, and forums to facilitate open dialogue and raise awareness about DEI issues.
5. Allocate adequate resources and support structures to sustain DEI efforts long-term.	→ Dedicate staff positions, allocate funding for research and programming, and establish partnerships with external organizations committed to advancing DEI.

Regarding Black women, organizations must address systemic challenges and foster a culture of belonging and equality. Similarly to the institution's roadmap: Conduct a thorough assessment of the organization's current practices, policies, and demographics to identify areas for improvement and set measurable goals. This includes analyzing hiring, promotion, and retention practices to ensure they are equitable and inclusive, with a particular focus on addressing any disparities faced by Black women. Prioritize education and training programs that raise awareness about unconscious bias, microaggressions, and systemic inequalities. These initiatives should be mandatory for all employees, including leadership, and provide practical tools and strategies for creating inclusive work environments where everyone feels valued and respected. Invest in mentoring and sponsorship programs that specifically support the career development and advancement of Black women, providing them with access to networks, resources, and opportunities for professional growth. Establish clear DEI metrics and regularly track progress, holding leaders and managers accountable for promoting diversity and inclusion within their teams. Foster a culture of belonging for Black women by creating safe spaces for open dialogue and feedback, empowering employees to share their experiences without fear of retaliation, especially pertaining to intersectionalities of their social-political experiences. Employee resource groups (ERGs) can play a vital role in this regard, providing platforms for Black women to connect, support one another, and advocate for change. Most importantly, actively seek input

from Black women in the decision-making process to ensure their voices are heard and valued in shaping DEI initiatives and policies that they are directly impacted by.

Recommendations for Organizations

Action Step	Description
1. Conduct a thorough assessment of the organization's current practices, policies, and demographics.	→ Identify areas for improvement and set measurable goals. Analyze hiring, promotion, and retention practices to ensure equity and inclusivity, with a focus on addressing disparities faced by Black women.
2. Prioritize education and training programs that raise awareness about unconscious bias, microaggressions, and inequalities.	→ Make these initiatives mandatory for all employees, including leadership. Provide practical tools and strategies for creating inclusive work environments where everyone feels valued and respected.
3. Invest in mentoring and sponsorship programs specifically supporting the career development and advancement of Black women.	→ Provide access to networks, resources, and opportunities for professional growth.
4. Establish clear DEI metrics and regularly track progress, holding leaders and managers accountable.	→ Promote diversity and inclusion within their teams.
5. Foster a culture of belonging for Black women by creating safe spaces for open dialogue and feedback.	→ Empower employees to share their experiences without fear of retaliation, particularly regarding the intersectionalities of their social-political experiences. Employee resource groups (ERGs) can provide platforms for Black women to connect, support one another, and advocate for change.
6. Include Black Women in Decision-Making.	→ Actively seek input from Black women in decision-making processes to ensure their voices shape DEI initiatives and policies that directly impact them.

C. Call to action for fostering inclusive environments and promoting diversity and equity

In light of historical inequities and ongoing challenges to DEI initiatives, there is a critical need to mobilize collective efforts to cultivate inclusive environments and advance diversity and equity. Drawing upon the historical struggles and contemporary dynamics detailed in this research, there is a compelling need to dismantle systemic barriers that sustain inequality, educate on DEI issues, confront biases, advocate for fairness, and foster environments where every individual is valued, respected, and empowered to succeed. From pivotal legal battles to the cultural resistance encountered by DEI programs, the evidence unequivocally indicates that achieving genuine equality necessitates a collective commitment and decisive action. It is crucial to leverage the insights gained from both achievements and setbacks to drive substantive change, advocate for underrepresented voices, and champion policies and practices that prioritize inclusion and equity for all. By embracing DEI initiatives as foundational principles and fostering cultures of belonging, we can lay the groundwork for a future where diversity is celebrated, equity is guaranteed, and inclusion is integral to our collective success.

References

Álvarez, B. (2023). "Title IX at 50: Where We've Been, Where We're Headed, and Why It Still Matters." NEA Today. Available at: https://www.nea.org/nea-today/all-news-articles/title-ix-50-where-weve-been-where-were-headed-and-why-it-still-matters

Anderson, N., & Svrluga, S. (2023). "Without Affirmative Action, How Will Colleges Seek Racial Diversity?" The Washington Post. Available at: https://www.washingtonpost.com/education/2023/07/01/affirmative-action-colleges-racial-diversity/

Atterbury, A. (2023). "Florida and DeSantis Dig In as Criticism of Black History Curriculum Mounts." Politico. Available at: https://www.politico.com/news/2023/07/24/florida-desantis-black-history-education-00107859

Bersin, J. (2021). *Elevating Equity: The Real Story of Diversity and Inclusion*. The Joshbersin Company. 202102-DEI-Report_Final_V2.pdf (joshbersin.com)

Betts, A. (2024). "DEI Education and State Laws: A New Landscape." The New York Times. Available at: https://www.nytimes.com/2024/04/21/us/dei-education-state-laws.html

Blacher, M., & Kamran, G. (2024). "To Chart the Future of Admissions, Look to California." Inside Higher Ed. Available at: https://www.insidehighered.com/opinion/views/2024/03/04/chart-future-admissions-look-california-opinion

Boudet, J. (2023, December 13). *What is the C-suite?* https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/mckinsey-explainers/what-is-the-c-suite

Bouke, J. (2018, January 22). The diversity and inclusion revolution: Eight powerful truths. Eight truths about diversity and inclusion at work | Deloitte Insights

Caprine, K. (2017, October 6). *New Data Reveals The Hard Costs Of Bias And How To Disrupt It*. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/kathycaprino/2017/10/26/new-data-reveals-the-hard-costs-of-bias-and-how-to-disrupt-it/?sh=7c3326b74595

Candiotti, E., Ellis, R., Richards, J., (2019). "65 Years After Brown v. Board of Ed., School Segregation Persists." NBC News. Available at: https://www.nbcnews.com/news/nbcblk/65-years-brown-v-board-ed-school-segregation-persists-n1006356

Confessore, N. (2024). "'America Is Under Attack': Inside the Anti-D.E.I. Crusade." The New York Times. Available at: https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2024/01/20/us/dei-woke-claremont-institute.html

Delikat, M., Ho, J.T., & Tran, H. (2022, October 7*). DEI Initiatives under Attack by Activists.* Harvard Law School. https://corpgov.law.harvard.edu/2022/10/07/dei-initiatives-under-attack-by-activists/

Dixon-Fyle, S., Dolan, K., Hunt, D., & Prince, S. (2020, May 19). *Diversity wins: How inclusion matters.* Mckinsey & Company. How diversity, equity, and inclusion (DE&I) matter | McKinsey

Fair 360. (2023). *2023 TOP 50 COMPANIES FOR DIVERSITY.* https://www.fair360.com/company/td-bank/

Frye, J. (2019, August 22). *Racism and Sexism Combine to Shortchange Working Black Women.* GAP20. https://www.americanprogress.org/article/racism-sexism-combine-shortchange-working-black-women/

Ganesan, V., Mahalingam, R. Nathan, A. Ware, Audrey, & Weinberg, A. (2023, June 23). *Underestimated start-up founders: The untapped opportunity.* Mckinsey & Company. https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/diversity-and-inclusion/underestimated-start-up-founders-the-untapped-opportunity

General Motors. (n.d.). *Building the Female Leaders of Tomorrow.* Building the Female Leaders of Tomorrow | General Motors (gm.com).

GlobalData. (2022, August). *IBM: Racial Diversity in the Leadership in 2021.* IBM: Racial Diversity in the Leadership in 2021 - GlobalData

Gretzinger, E., & Hicks, M. (2024). "The Chaos of Compliance." The Chronicle of Higher Education. Available at: https://www.chronicle.com/article/the-chaos-of-compliance

IBM Corporation. (2020). IBM 2020 Diversity & Inclusion Report. IBM_Diversity_Inclusion_Report_2020.pdf

IBM Corporation. (n.d.). *Black community.* Black Community | Be Equal (ibm.com)

Jean-Marie, G., & Williams, V., & Sherman, S. (2009). Black Women's Leadership Experiences: Examining the Intersectionality of Race and Gender. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, *11*(5), 562-581. https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422309351836

Katherine, S. (2023, September 27). *The Data on Women Leaders.* Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/fact-sheet/the-data-on-women-leaders/#u-s-senate

Korn, M. (2023). "Can Colleges Be Racially Diverse Without Affirmative Action? Experience Suggests No." The Wall Street Journal. Available at:

https://www.wsj.com/articles/supreme-court-affirmative-action-college-admissions-e3de89d8

LeanIn. (2020). *The State of Black Women in Corporate America*. https://leanin.org/research/state-of-black-women-in-corporate-america/introduction

Legal Information Institute. (2023). Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard, 600 U.S. 181. Cornell Law School. Available at: https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/20-1199

Lorenzo, R., Voigt, N., Tsusaka, M., & Krentz. (2018, January 23). How Diverse Leadership Teams Boost Innovation. How Diverse Leadership Teams Boost Innovation (bcg.com)

Martinez, L. (2022, February 10). *Our 2022 Annual Equality Update: Accelerating Representation and Racial Equality.* Salesforce. Our 2022 Annual Equality Update: Accelerating Representation and Racial Equality - Salesforce

McDonald's. (n.d*.). Black and Positively Golden: Education*. Black and Positively Golden®: Education | McDonald's (mcdonalds.com)

McDowell, V. Bobbitt, C., & Gilmartin, J. (2023, February 9). *Diversity culture clashes: the desire for and backlash to DEI audits and interventions.* Westlaw Today. 15112_WLT_McDowell.pdf (mvalaw.com)

McLaughlin, E. C. (2014, April 8). "Many Doubt 1964 Civil Rights Act Could Pass Today." CNN. Available at: https://www.cnn.com/2014/04/08/politics/lbj-civil-rights-act-50th-anniversary/index.html

Melaku, T. M., Enderes, K. (2019). *You Don't Look Like a Lawyer: Black Women and Systemic Gendered Racism.* Rowman & Littlefield Publishers. https://rowman.com/ISBN/9781538107928/You-Don percent27t-Look-Like-a-Lawyer-Black-Women-and-Systemic-Gendered-Racism

Minkin, R. (2023, May 17). *Diversity, Equity and Inclusion in the Workplace.* Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/social-trends/2023/05/17/diversity-equity-and-inclusion-in-the-workplace/#dei-measures-and-their-impact

Mohan, P. (2020, October 30). *How Trump's executive order threatens anti-racist workplace trainings.* Fast Company. https://www.fastcompany.com/90570144/how-trumps-executive-order-threatens-anti-racist-workplace-trainings

Mohan, P. (2024, February 8). *Zoom laid off its DEI team—and it's not the only company making such cuts.* Fast Company. Zoom laid off its DEI team—and it's not the only company making cuts (fastcompany.com)

Morse, B. (2024, February 21). *'It All Fell Apart': Fearless Fund Founder on Impact of DEI Lawsuits. Inc.* 'It All Fell Apart': Fearless Fund Founder on Impact of DEI Lawsuits | Inc.com

National Center for Public Policy Research. (n.d.). *STOP BANK OF AMERICA'S DIVISIVE "WOKE AT WORK" AGENDA.* https://nationalcenter.org/boa-woke-at-work/

Oakes, A. (2023, August 1*). It shouldn't be this easy for companies to walk back their DEI commitments.* Quartz. https://qz.com/it-shouldn-t-be-this-easy-for-companies-to-walk-back-th-1850686363

Peck, E. (2024, January 4). *Companies are backing away from "DEI".* Axios. https://www.axios.com/2024/01/04/dei-jobs-diversity-corporate

Rai, T., & Dutkiewicz, C. (2022, May 10). *How to Navigate Pushback to Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Efforts.* Gartner. https://www.gartner.com/en/articles/how-to-navigate-pushback-to-diversity-equity-and-inclusion-efforts

Rufo, C. (2023, December 18). Claudine Gay's DEI Empire. Claudine Gay's DEI Empire | City Journal (city-journal.org)

Salesforce Racial Equality and Justice Taskforce. (2021, August 12). *Quarterly Equality Update: Driving Racial Equality and an Inclusive Employee Experience.* Salesforce. Quarterly Equality Update: Driving Racial Equality and an Inclusive Employee Experience - Salesforce

Schwartz, J., Denny, B., Mallon, D., Durme, Y, Hauptmann, M., Yan, R., & Poynton, S. (2020, May 15). *Belonging.* Deloitte. https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/focus/human-capital-trends/2020/creating-a-culture-of-belonging.html

Shah, N. (2023). "Navigating Admissions Post-Supreme Court Ruling." The Harvard Crimson. Available at: https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2023/7/28/admissions-post-supreme-court/

Statista. (2022, March). Most important outcomes of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) programs for employees worldwide in 2021. https://www.statista.com/statistics/1305136/outcomes-dei-programs/

Tenney, M. (n.d.). *How Does DEI Affect Retention?* Business Leadership Today. How Does DEI Affect Employee Retention? - Business Leadership Today

Tenuto, H. (2024, February 22). Workplace DEI isn't dead. Here's what's next for DEI leaders. Fast Company. Why workplace DEI isn't dead (fastcompany.com)

Totenberg, N. (2023). "Supreme Court Strikes Down Affirmative Action in Higher Education Admissions." NPR. Available at: https://www.npr.org/2023/06/29/1181138066/affirmative-action-supreme-court-decision

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2023, November). *Labor force characteristics by race and ethnicity, 2022.* https://www.bls.gov/opub/reports/race-and-ethnicity/2022/home.htm

US Black Engineer. (2020, November 2). *HBCU students each awarded a $15,000 McDonald's Black & Positively Golden Scholarship.* https://www.blackengineer.com/article/hbcu-students-each-awarded-a-15000-mcdonalds-black-positively-golden-scholarship

Walsh, Mark (2023). "Supreme Court Ends Affirmative Action in College Admissions, Decision Watched by K-12." Education Week. Available at: https://www.edweek.org/policy-politics/supreme-court-ends-affirmative-action-in-college-admissions-in-decision-watched-by-k-12/2023/06

Weissman, S. (2023). "California Community College Professors Sue Over DEI Rules." Inside Higher Ed. Available at: https://www.insidehighered.com/news/diversity/race-ethnicity/2023/10/03/california-community-college-professors-sue-over-dei-rules

Womens Bureau U.S. Department of Labor. (2023, March). *UNDERSTANDING THE GENDER WAGE GAP.* https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/WB/equalpay/WB_issuebrief-undstg-wage-gap-v1.pdf